

July 15, 2014

Mr. Jon Capacasa, Director Water Protection Division USEPA Region III Mail Code: 3WP00 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

RE: NPDES Permit No. PA0008231

Guilford Mills, Inc. Penn Dye and Finishing Plant

Schuylkill County

Dear Mr. Capacasa,

I received a copy of your letter dated June 16, 2014 to Michael Bedrin, Regional Director in our Northeast Regional Office, regarding specific objections of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to the above-captioned draft National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit issued by the Department to Guilford Mills, Inc. Penn Dye and Finishing Plant ("Guilford Mills"). In your letter, you assert that the basis for your objections are the nonpoint source agricultural baseline requirements in Pennsylvania's trading program regulations, which you contend are below the Chesapeake Bay Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) allocations, and therefore inconsistent with the federal Clean Water Act. However, your letter does not provide any support for this assertion.

In its review of Pennsylvania's trading program documented in a report dated February 17, 2012, EPA questioned whether Pennsylvania's agricultural nonpoint source baseline requirements are consistent with the EPA's TMDL load allocation for these sources. EPA asserted that Pennsylvania should make a "quantitative demonstration" that its agricultural nonpoint source baseline requirements achieve the TMDL agricultural load allocation.

EPA acknowledged in its 2012 report that Pennsylvania's agricultural baseline requirements in its nutrient trading program "are enhancing compliance with legal requirements for the agricultural sector, and enhanced compliance is a primary strategy by which the agricultural section will meet its load allocation." EPA further recognized that implementation of the agricultural baseline and threshold requirements "results in significantly fewer pollutants being discharged to Pennsylvania waters and ultimately the Bay."

As you know, the Department has been actively working to address the concern expressed by EPA in the 2012 report. We are currently evaluating several modeling tools that can be used to provide quantitative estimates of nutrient loadings from agricultural operation meeting Pennsylvania's regulatory baseline and threshold requirements. The modeling performed by EPA to support the Chesapeake Bay TMDL is not useful in determining the nutrient loading at a



specific location for the purpose of establishing an agricultural nonpoint source baseline. The process of developing a modeling tool that can effectively quantify such loadings is complex and complicated further by the need to calibrate such a model with EPA's ever-changing Chesapeake Bay model. Despite this complexity, the Department is making progress in this regard.

Your assertion in your specific objections that Pennsylvania's agricultural nonpoint source baseline is not consistent with the Bay TMDL is premature at best, and the timing of your objections given the Department's ongoing efforts to develop a quantitative model to support its baseline requirements is certainly questionable. Given this assertion, the Department is requesting that EPA hold a public hearing on its objections at a location in Pottsville, Pennsylvania, for the convenience of the public interested in the Guildford Mills NPDES permit and the agricultural community involved in Pennsylvania's trading program.

While the Department believes its deadline for requesting a public hearing is 90 days from the date of EPA's specific objection letter to the Guilford Mills NPDES permit, which would be September 15, 2014, the Department is making its request at this time to avoid any potential waiver of its ability to do so if EPA contends that the 90-day timeframe began on the date of its general objection letter (April 17, 2014). Please be advised that the Department will be requesting that EPA conduct public hearings on the specific objections related to Pennsylvania's nutrient trading program submitted by EPA on several additional draft NPDES permits.

We will continue to work with EPA to complete the important work of developing a performance-based model for use in calculating agricultural nonpoint source baseline for use in Pennsylvania's nutrient trading program. The Department remains committed to this useful tool in achieving the goals of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL and improving water quality in Pennsylvania. If I can be of further assistance, please contact me by e-mail at kheffner@pa.gov or by telephone 717.783.4693.

Sincerely,

-fra Will